IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 3300 OF 2004

Shailesh K. Shah & Ors. ... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

Mr. B.A. D'Lima i/by D'Lima & Associates for Petitioner.

Mr. M. More, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO,J.
DATED : DECEMBER 15, 2004

P.C.

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

. The only reason why the Administrator has been appointed, as can be evidenced from the order dated 30.10.2004 is that the indemnity bond in Form No. 2 was not filed within 15 days as per Section 73(1)(ab) and Rule 58 of the M.C.S. Act, 1960. An appeal has been preferred against the said order which has been admitted. However, no stay was granted. Consequently the present petition.

. It is not necessary to go into the merits of the matter. The appellate authority to dispose of the appeal within three months from today and pending the appeal and for further period of eight weeks from the communication of the order, if the order be adverse, the order dated 30.10.2004 not to be

acted upon. Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

s

(F.I. REBELLO,J.)